# Classical and Bayesian inference

March 11, 2018

Claudia Wehrhahn (UCSC)

**Classical and Bayesian inference** 

March 11, 2018 1 / 7

## Definition of an Unbiased Estimator

- Let *X* = (*X*<sub>1</sub>,..., *X<sub>n</sub>*) be a random sample from a distribution that involves a parameter (or parameter vector) *θ* whose value is unknown.
- Suppose that we wish to estimate the parameter,  $\theta$ , or a function of the parameter,  $g(\theta)$ .
- In a problem of this type, it is desirable to use an estimator δ(X) that, with high probability, will be close to θ or g(θ).

### Example

Suppose that  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$  form a random sample from a normal distribution for which the mean  $\theta$  is unknown and the variance is 1.

What is the M.L.E. of  $\theta$ ? What does its distribution say about  $\theta$ ?

<ロ> <同> <同> <日> <同> <日> <同> <日> <日> <同> <日> <日</p>

# Definition of an Unbiased Estimator

### Definition (Unbiased Estimator / Bias)

An estimator  $\delta(\mathbf{X})$  is an *unbiased estimator* of a function  $g(\theta)$  of the parameter  $\theta$  if  $E_{\theta}[\delta(\mathbf{X})] = g(\theta)$  for every possible value of  $\theta$ .

An estimator that is not unbiased is called a *biased estimator*.

The difference between the expectation of an estimator and  $g(\theta)$  is called the *bias* of the estimator. That is, the bias of  $\delta$  as an estimator of  $g(\theta)$  is  $E_{\theta}[\delta(\mathbf{X})] - g(\theta)$ , and  $\delta$  is *unbiased* if and only if the bias is 0 for all  $\theta$ .

# Definition of an Unbiased Estimator

## Example (Estimators for the variance in normal sampling)

Suppose that  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$  form a random sample from a normal distribution for which the mean  $\theta$  is unknown and the variance  $\sigma^2$  is unknown.

- Show that  $\sigma'^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i \overline{X}_n)^2$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .
- Show that  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i \overline{X}_n)^2$  is a biased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ . Find a new estimator, say  $\hat{\sigma}_2^2 = g(\hat{\sigma}^2)$ , that is unbiased for  $\sigma^2$ .
- Compute the variance of  ${\sigma'}^2$  and  $\hat{\sigma}^2$ .

<ロ> <同> <同> <日> <同> <日> <同> <日> <日> <同> <日> <日</p>

# Mean Squared Error

## Definition (Mean Square Error)

Let  $\delta$  be an estimator with finite variance. Then the mean square error (M.S.E.) of  $\delta$  as an estimator of  $g(\theta)$  is defined by

$$\mathsf{E}_{ heta}\left[\left(\delta-g( heta)
ight)^{2}
ight].$$

- It can be shown that  $E_{\theta}\left[(\delta g(\theta))^2\right] = Var(\delta) + (E_{\theta}[\delta] g(\theta))^2$ .
- If  $\delta$  is an unbiased estimator of  $g(\theta)$ , then the M.S.E. of  $\delta$  is equal to its variance.
- Estimators with small M.S.E. are to be preferred.

# Mean Squared Error

## Example (Estimators for the variance in normal sampling)

Suppose that  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$  form a random sample from a normal distribution for which the mean  $\theta$  is unknown and the variance  $\sigma^2$  is unknown.

• Find the M.S.E. of  ${\sigma'}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X}_n)^2$ .

• Find the M.S.E. of 
$$\widehat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X}_n)^2$$
.

Claudia Wehrhahn (UCSC)

<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 同> < 同> = 三目

# Limitations of Unbiased Estimators

- An unbiased estimator might not exist.
- The unbiased estimator might be inappropriate.
- Information from the experiment might be ignored in the search of an unbiased estimator.

## Example

Let X be a random variable from the Poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda$ , that describes the number of incoming calls at a telephone switchboard per minute. It is of interest to estimate the probability that no calls arrive in the next two minutes, this is,  $P(X = 0)^2$ .

- Show that  $\delta(X) = (-1)^X$  in an unbiased estimator for  $P(X = 0)^2$ . Discuss how appropriate this estimator can be.
- Show that  $\delta(X) = e^{-2X}$  is a biased estimator for  $P(X = 0)^2$ . Discuss how appropriate this estimator can be.

It can be shown that the estimator  $e^{-2X}$  has a smaller M.S.E. than  $(-1)^X$ !

SQA

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日