

## Fisher information:

$$a) X_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p) \quad 0 < p < 1$$

$$I(p) = \frac{1}{p(1-p)}$$

$$b) X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2) \quad , \quad \sigma^2 \text{ is known.}$$

$$-\infty < \mu < \infty$$

$$I(\mu) = -E \left[ \frac{d^2}{d\mu^2} \log f(x|\mu) \right]$$

$$f(x|\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x-\mu)^2 \right\}$$

$$\lambda(x|\mu) = \log f(x|\mu) = -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x-\mu)^2$$

$$\frac{d \log f(x|\mu)}{d\mu} = \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma^2} = \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma^2}$$

$$\frac{d^2 \log f(x|\mu)}{d\mu^2} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

$$I(\mu) = -E \left[ \frac{d^2}{d\mu^2} \log f(x|\mu) \right] = -E \left[ -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right] = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

Example: customer arrivals.

a)  $n$  is fixed and  $X$  is a r.v. that describes the time until the  $n$  customers arrive.

$$X \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \lambda)$$

b)  $t$  is fixed and  $X$  is a r.v. that describes the number of customers that arrive to the store ~~between~~ in an interval of time of length  $t$ .

$$X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$$

Which sampling mechanism is better?

under scenario a) the Fisher information for  $\lambda$  is given by  ~~$I(\lambda) = \frac{n}{\lambda^2}$~~   $I(\lambda) = \frac{n}{\lambda^2}$  ; ~~exercise =~~ show this!

under scenario b) the Fisher information for  $\lambda$  is given by  $I(\lambda) = \frac{t}{\lambda}$ .

- if  $n = t = 10$ , b) contains more information about  $\lambda$  than a) for  $\lambda > 1$ .
- when do ~~both~~ a) and b) contain the same information about  $\lambda$ ?

$$\frac{t}{\lambda} = \frac{n}{\lambda^2} \Rightarrow \lambda^2 t = n \lambda \Rightarrow \lambda t = n$$

under scenario a) we have that  $X \sim \text{Gam}(n, \lambda)$   
so  $E(X) = \frac{n}{\lambda} \Rightarrow \lambda E(X) = n$

Under scenario (s) we have that  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda t)$ .  
So  $E(X) = \lambda t$

So if the expected time that we wait and the expected number of customers ~~is~~ are constant then the Fisher information for both sampling mechanisms is the same.

Efficient estimators:

a)  $X_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ . Show that  $\bar{X}_n$  is an efficient estimator for  $p$ . Thus, i.e.,  $\text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{1}{nI(p)}$  and

we need to check that  $\bar{X}_n$  is unbiased.

$$\bullet E(\bar{X}_n) = E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = p.$$

$$\bullet \text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum X_i\right) = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$$

$$\bullet \text{we } I(p) = \frac{1}{p(1-p)} \quad \bullet \quad I_n(p) = nI(p) = \frac{n}{p(1-p)}$$

since  $\text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{p(1-p)}{n} = \frac{1}{nI(p)}$  we have that

$\bar{X}_n$  is an efficient estimator for  $p$ .

b)  $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and  $\sigma$  known.

$\bar{X}_n$  is ~~the~~ estimator for  $\mu$ .

$E(\bar{X}_n) = \mu$  so  $\bar{X}_n$  is unbiased.

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$\text{and } I_n(\mu) = nI(\mu) = \frac{n}{\sigma^2}$$

since  $\text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \frac{1}{nI(\mu)}$ , we have that  $\bar{X}_n$

is an efficient estimator for  $\mu$ .

Asymptotic distribution of the M.L.E:

If  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is the M.L.E. of  $\theta$  then

$$\hat{\theta}_n \sim N\left(\theta, \frac{1}{nI(\theta)}\right), \quad n \text{ large}$$

$$\frac{\hat{\theta}_n - \theta}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{nI(\theta)}}} = \sqrt{nI(\theta)} (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \sim N(0, 1), \quad n \text{ large.}$$